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## Tachyon and instability

Lagrangian density of a complex-scalar QFT

$$
\mathcal{L}=\left|\partial_{\mu} \phi\right|^{2}-V(\phi, \bar{\phi})
$$

The Ist derivative defines the vacuum, the $2 n d$ the mass
When the mass is pure imaginary, the corresponding particle is called tachyon, and the extremum is unstable
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$(\text { mass })^{2}>0$

(mass) ${ }^{2}<0$

## Brane-antibrane system

D-brane \& D-antibrane (D- $\bar{D}$ ) system in the flat spacetime is an example of unstable state in string theory


D-brane \& D-antibrane and open strings in between in the curved spacetime $\left(\mathrm{AdS}_{5} \times \mathrm{S}^{5}\right)$ are less well-understood


## AdS/CFT correspondence

## $\mathrm{AdS}_{5} \times \mathrm{S}^{5}$ is the primary example of AdS/CFT



Superstring theory on $\mathrm{AdS}_{5} \times \mathrm{S}^{5}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
N \rightarrow \infty, g_{s} \rightarrow 0 \\
\lambda=N g_{s}
\end{gathered}
$$
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$\mathfrak{N}=44 \operatorname{dim} \operatorname{SU}(N)$
super Yang-Mills

$$
N \rightarrow \infty, g_{\mathrm{YM}} \rightarrow \mathbf{0}
$$

$$
\lambda=N g_{\mathrm{YM}}^{2}
$$

## AdS/CFT correspondence <br> 

$\mathrm{AdS}_{5} \times \mathrm{S}^{5}$ is the primary example of AdS/CFT


Our setup Add another "giant graviton" D3-brane which extends in the transversal directions to stack branes.

On the left figure, it wraps on $R \times S^{3}$ inside $\mathrm{AdS}_{5} \times \mathrm{S}^{5}$.
$\mathrm{AdS}_{5} \times \mathrm{S}^{5}$ is the primary example of AdS/CFT


Our setup Add another "giant graviton" D3-brane which extends in the transversal directions to stack branes.

On the left figure, it wraps on $R x S^{3}$ inside $\mathrm{AdS}_{5} \times \mathrm{S}^{5}$.
Add a charge-conjugate of the "giant graviton" D3-brane

## AdS/CFT correspondence <br> 

The energy of an open string in $\mathrm{AdS}_{5} \times \mathrm{S}^{5}$ ending on a pair of "giant-graviton" D- $\bar{D}$ branes

should be dual to the dimension of a determinant-like operator in 4D $\boldsymbol{S U}(\boldsymbol{N}) \mathcal{N}=4$ super Yang-Mills theory

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{O}_{Y, \bar{Y}}[V, W] \sim \epsilon^{i_{1} \cdots i_{N}} \epsilon_{j_{1} \cdots j_{N}} \epsilon^{k_{1} \cdots k_{N}} \epsilon_{l_{1} \cdots l_{N}} \times \\
& Y_{i_{1}}^{j_{1}} \cdots Y_{i_{N-1}}^{j_{N-1}} V_{i_{N}}^{l_{N}} \bar{Y}_{k_{1}}^{\iota_{1}} \cdots \bar{Y}_{k_{N-1} l_{N-1}} W_{k_{N}}^{j_{N}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## AdS/CFT correspondence <br> 

The energy of an open string in $\mathrm{AdS}_{5} \times \mathrm{S}^{5}$ ending on a pair of "giant-graviton" D- $\overline{\mathrm{D}}$ branes

should be dual to the dimension of a determinant-like operator in 4D $\boldsymbol{S U}(\boldsymbol{N}) \mathcal{N}=4$ super Yang-Mills theory

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{O}_{Y, \bar{Y}}[\boldsymbol{V}, W] \sim \epsilon^{i_{1} \cdots i_{N}} \epsilon_{j_{1} \cdots j_{N}} \epsilon^{k_{1} \cdots k_{N}} \epsilon_{l_{1} \cdots l_{N}} \times \\
& Y_{i_{1}}^{j_{1}} \cdots \bar{Y}_{i_{N-1}}^{j_{N-1}} V_{i_{N}}^{l_{N}} \bar{Y}_{k_{1}} \cdots \bar{Y}_{k_{N-1}-1}^{l_{N-1}} W_{k_{N}}^{j_{N}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hope: demonstrate the duality using integrability

## Integrability Predictions

The spectral problem at large $\boldsymbol{N}$ is now "solvable" through (Asymptotic/Thermodynamic) Bethe Ansatz

$$
E_{\text {string }}(\lambda) \stackrel{\sim}{\sim} E_{\mathrm{ABA}}(\lambda) \text { or } E_{\mathrm{TBA}}(\lambda) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Delta_{\mathrm{SYM}}(\lambda)
$$

We want to solve TBA; i.e. obtain $\boldsymbol{E}_{\text {TBA }}(\lambda)$
Example: the exact dimension of Konishi operator




Green: SYM, weak 5-loop Blue: TBA, numerics Red: String, strong 1-loop

## To do

$$
E_{\text {string }}(\lambda) \stackrel{\sim}{\sim} E_{\mathrm{ABA}}(\lambda) \text { or } E_{\mathrm{TBA}}(\lambda) \stackrel{\sim}{\longrightarrow} \Delta_{\mathrm{SYM}}(\lambda)
$$

## We propose BTBA equations

(Boundary Thermodynamic Bethe Ansatz) and solve them numerically

$$
\mathcal{O}_{Y, \bar{Y}}[V, W] \sim \epsilon^{i_{1} \cdots i_{N}} \epsilon_{j_{1} \cdots j_{N}} \epsilon^{k_{1} \cdots k_{N}} \epsilon_{l_{1} \cdots l_{N}} Y_{i_{1}}^{j_{1}} \cdots Y_{i_{N-1}}^{j_{N-1}} V_{i_{N}}^{l_{N}} \bar{Y}_{k_{1}}^{l_{1}} \cdots \bar{Y}_{k_{N-1}}^{l_{N-1}} W_{k_{N}}^{j_{N}}
$$

However, the DDbar system should contain open tachyons. Indeed, integrability method apparently predicts singularity
cf. closed tachyons and non-conformality, [Dymarsky, Klebanov, Roiban] hep-th/0509| 32 [Fokken, Sieg,Wilhelm] I 308.4420 cf. examples of a singular TBA energy [Frolov, RS] 0906.0499 [de Leeuw, van Tongeren] I20I.I45 I
$\checkmark$ Introduction

- Integrability and AdS/CFT
- Determinants and giant-gravitons
- BTBA equations and energy bound
- Summary and outlook


## Integrability and AdS/CFT

## What is integrability?


Textbook definition

Infinitely many conserved charges, S-matrix factorization, Yang-Baxter relation, ...
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## Textbook definition

Infinitely many conserved charges, S-matrix factorization, Yang-Baxter relation, ...

## Working definition

I. Compute physical quantities
2. Find infinite-dimensional symmetry
3. Conjecture "Bethe-Ansatz" formula
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## Integrability in string theory

The integrability method is an alternative to the RNS formalism when the background spacetime contains D-branes.

## Integrability in the $\sigma$-model on $\mathrm{AdS}_{5} \times \mathrm{S}^{5}$


String theory reduces to a $2 \mathrm{~d} \sigma$-model at small $\boldsymbol{g}_{s}$ and large $\boldsymbol{N}$

- Ramond-Neveu-Schwarz formalism (worldsheet susy manifest)
$\checkmark$ Green-Schwarz formalism (spacetime susy manifest)

$$
S_{\mathrm{GS}}=-\frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{4 \pi} \int d^{2} \sigma G_{M N} \partial Z^{M} \partial Z^{N}+\ldots, \quad Z^{M}=\left(x^{m}, \theta_{\alpha}^{I}\right)
$$

On $\mathrm{AdS}_{5} \times \mathrm{S}^{5}$, GS action has the susy completion as a supercoset $\sigma$-model

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{AdS}_{5} \times \mathrm{S}^{5}+\text { fermions }=\frac{P S U(2,2 \mid 4)}{S O(4,1) \times S O(5)} \curvearrowleft \mathbb{Z}_{4} \\
S_{\text {coset }}=-\frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{4 \pi} \int d^{2} \sigma \operatorname{Str}\left[\gamma^{\alpha \beta} A_{\alpha}^{(2)} A_{\beta}^{(2)} \pm \epsilon^{\alpha \beta} A_{\alpha}^{(1)} A_{\beta}^{(3)}\right] \\
\mathfrak{g} \in S U(2,2 \mid 4), \quad A=-\mathfrak{g}^{-1} d \mathfrak{g}=A^{(0)}+A^{(1)}+A^{(2)}+A^{(3)},
\end{gathered}
$$

## Integrability in the $\sigma$-model on $\operatorname{AdS}_{5} \times S^{5}$


The supercoset $\sigma$-model is classically integrable;
We determine the (asymptotic) spectrum via the $S$-matrix bootstrap assuming quantum integrability

- First, break worldsheet conformal symmetry by a gauge choice $\left(\right.$ worldsheet circumference $=$ string angular momentum on $\mathrm{S}^{5}$ )
- Second, take the large-volume (asymptotic) limit; we can define asymptotic states and their worldsheet S-matrix

[Bena Polchinski Roiban] (2003) [Hofman Maldacena] (2006) and others


## $\mathfrak{N}=4 \mathrm{SU}(N)$ Super Yang-Mills



$$
S_{\text {bare }}^{\mathcal{N}=4}=\frac{1}{g_{\mathrm{YM}}^{2}} \int d^{4} x \operatorname{Tr}\left[\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu \nu} F^{\mu \nu}+\frac{1}{2} D_{\mu} \Phi_{m} D^{\mu} \Phi_{m}-\frac{1}{4}\left[\Phi_{m}, \Phi_{n}\right]^{2}+\text { fermions }\right]
$$

As a SCFT, an interesting local observable of $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM is the anomalous dimension of gauge-invariant (single-trace) non-BPS operators

$$
\left\langle\mathcal{O}_{a}(x) \mathcal{O}_{b}(0)\right\rangle=\frac{Z_{a b}}{|x|^{2 \Delta_{0}}} \rightarrow\left\langle\mathcal{O}_{a^{\prime}}(x) \mathcal{O}_{b^{\prime}}(0)\right\rangle=\frac{\delta_{a^{\prime} b^{\prime}}}{|x|^{2 \Delta_{a^{\prime}}}}, \quad \Delta_{a^{\prime}}=\Delta_{0}+\gamma_{a^{\prime}}
$$

Scaling transformation: $\left(x, \Lambda_{\mathbf{U V}}\right) \rightarrow\left(\lambda x, \lambda^{-1} \Lambda_{\mathbf{U V}}\right), \quad Z\left(\Lambda_{\mathbf{U V}}\right) \rightarrow \lambda^{-\gamma} Z\left(\Lambda_{\mathbf{U V}}\right)$
The one-loop dilatation operator in the scalar sector is

$$
\mathcal{D}_{\text {1-loop }}=\frac{d Z}{d \log \Lambda_{\mathrm{UV}}} Z^{-1}=\frac{-\lambda}{16 \pi^{2} N}\left(\operatorname{tr}:\left[\Phi_{m}, \Phi_{n}\right]\left[\check{\Phi}_{m}, \check{\Phi}_{n}\right]:+\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}:\left[\Phi_{m}, \check{\Phi}_{n}\right]^{2}:\right)
$$

This produces the operator mixing through algebraic rules of $\Phi, \Phi$-check's

$$
\operatorname{tr}\left(A \check{\Phi}_{m} B \Phi_{n}\right)=\delta_{m n} \operatorname{tr} A \operatorname{tr} B, \quad \operatorname{tr}\left(A \check{\Phi}_{m}\right) \operatorname{tr}\left(\Phi_{n} B\right)=\delta_{m n} \operatorname{tr}(A B)
$$

## $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM and spin chain

In the large $N$ limit, the $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM dilatation operator reduces to the Hamiltonian of an integrable spin chain $\operatorname{tr} Z^{L}$ (half-BPS operator) $=$ Spin-chain ground state

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{D}_{1-\text { loop }}=\frac{-\lambda}{16 \pi^{2} N}\left(\operatorname{tr}:\left[\Phi_{m}, \Phi_{n}\right]\left[\check{\Phi}_{m}, \check{\Phi}_{n}\right]:+\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}:\left[\Phi_{m}, \check{\Phi}_{n}\right]^{2}:\right) \\
& \left.\mathcal{D}_{1-\text { loop }}\right|_{L}=\frac{\lambda}{16 \pi^{2}}: \sum_{l=1}^{L}\left(2-2 P_{l, l+1}+K_{l, l+1}\right) \quad \begin{cases}P_{l, l+1} & =\delta_{m_{l}}^{n_{l+1}} \delta_{m_{l+1}}^{n_{l}} \\
K_{l, l+1} & =\delta_{m_{l}, m_{l+1}} \delta^{n_{l}, n_{l+1}}\end{cases} \\
& \text { Can be diagonalized by Bethe Ansatz } \\
& Z=\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{5}+i \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\mathbf{6}} \\
& \boldsymbol{Y}=\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{3}+i \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

## $\mathfrak{N}=4 \mathrm{SYM}$ and spin chain

Symmetry almost determines the dispersion and S-matrix, and allows us to propose all-loop (asymptotic) Bethe Ansatz

- Global symmetry of $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM $=\mathfrak{p s u}(2,2 \mid 4)$
- The choice of vacuum as $\operatorname{tr} Z^{L}$ breaks it to $\mathfrak{p s u}(2 \mid 2)^{2} \times \mathrm{R}$

$$
\mathfrak{p s u}(2,2 \mid 4) \rightarrow \mathfrak{p s u}(2 \mid 2)^{2} \ltimes \mathbb{R} \sim\left(E=\Delta, S_{1}, S_{2}, J_{1}, J_{2}, L\right)
$$

-The residual global symmetry enhances to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathfrak{p s u}(2 \mid 2)^{2} \times \mathbb{R}^{3}=\mathfrak{s u}(2 \mid 2)^{2} \times \mathrm{R} \text { in the asymptotic limit } \\
& \operatorname{tr}\left(Z^{L-m} \chi Z^{m}\right) \rightarrow(\ldots Z Z \ldots Z \chi Z \ldots Z Z \ldots) \\
& \mathfrak{p s u}(2 \mid 2)^{2} \ltimes \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathfrak{p s u}(2 \mid 2)^{2} \ltimes \mathbb{R}^{3}=\mathfrak{s u}(2 \mid 2)^{2} \ltimes \mathbb{R}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Finite $L$ spectrum


The large $L$ (but finite) spectrum is governed by transfer matrix


$$
T_{a}(q \mid \vec{p}) \equiv(\mathrm{s}) \operatorname{tr}_{V_{a}}\left[\mathbb{S}_{a 1}\left(q, p_{1}\right) \cdots \mathbb{S}_{a N}\left(q, p_{N}\right)\right]
$$

Yang-Baxter relation for integrable S-matrices $\Rightarrow\left[T_{a}\left(q_{a} \mid \vec{p}\right), T_{b}\left(q_{b} \mid \vec{p}\right)\right]=0$
By taking $q$ as one of the momentum of physical excitations, we obtain the Bethe Ansatz equations


$$
\begin{gathered}
\left.T(q \mid \vec{p}) e^{-i L q}\right|_{q=p_{k}}=\prod_{j=1}^{M} S\left(p_{j}, p_{k}\right) e^{-i L p_{k}}=-1 \\
\Delta-L=\sum_{j=1}^{M} \sqrt{1+4 g^{2} \sin ^{2} \frac{p_{j}}{2}+\mathcal{O}\left(e^{-c L}\right)} g=\frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{2 \pi}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Finite $L$ spectrum


The large $L$ (but finite) spectrum is governed by transfer matrix


$$
T_{a}(q \mid \vec{p}) \equiv(\mathrm{s}) \operatorname{tr}_{V_{a}}\left[\mathbb{S}_{a 1}\left(q, p_{1}\right) \cdots \mathbb{S}_{a N}\left(q, p_{N}\right)\right]
$$

Yang-Baxter relation for integrable S-matrices $\Rightarrow\left[T_{a}\left(q_{a} \mid \vec{p}\right), T_{b}\left(q_{b} \mid \vec{p}\right)\right]=0$
By taking $q$ as the "mirror" momentum of virtual excitations, we obtain the Lüscher formula

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Delta_{\text {Lüscher }} \sim \sum_{Q} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d \widetilde{p}_{Q} e^{-\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{Q}\left(\widetilde{p}_{Q}\right) J} \\
\left(\mathcal{E}_{Q}, p_{Q}\right)=\left(-i \widetilde{p}_{Q},-i \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{Q}\right), \quad \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{Q}=2 \operatorname{arcsinh}\left(\sqrt{Q^{2}+\widetilde{p}_{Q}^{2}} /(2 g)\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Determinants and giant-gravitons

## Spherical Maximal Giant Gravitons (SMGG's)


Giant graviton $=$ Half-BPS, D3-brane solution on $\mathbf{A d S}_{5} \times \mathbf{S}^{5}$ carrying a large angular momentum $L=\mathcal{O}(N)$

Spherical $\Leftrightarrow \quad$ "wrap" on $S^{3} \subset S^{5}$ bound on the angular momentum $L \leq N$
Maximal $\Leftrightarrow \quad L=N$

SMGG's are classified by the choice:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{S}^{3} \subset \mathrm{~S}^{5} & =\left\{|X|^{2}+|Y|^{2}+|Z|^{2}=R_{\text {sphere }}^{2}\right\} \\
X & =0 \text { or } Y=0 \text { or } Z=0 \cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

$\bar{Y}=0$ brane $\Leftrightarrow$ Carrying negative angular momentum compared to $Y=0$

## Giant graviton is determinant

SMGG's are dual to determinants

$$
\operatorname{det} \Phi^{N}=\epsilon^{i_{1} \cdots i_{N}} \epsilon_{j_{1} \cdots j_{N}} \Phi_{i_{1}}^{j_{1}} \cdots \Phi_{i_{N}}^{j_{N}}
$$

Open strings on the $Y=0$ brane are dual to det-like operator

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(Y^{N-1} V\right)=\epsilon^{i_{1} \cdots i_{N}} \epsilon_{j_{1} \cdots j_{N}} Y_{i_{1}}^{j_{1}} \cdots Y_{i_{N-1}}^{j_{N-1}} V_{i_{N}}^{j_{N}}
$$

A pair of open strings on $\mathrm{Y}=0$ and $\mathrm{Ybar}=0$ should be dual to:
$\mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{Y}, \overline{\boldsymbol{Y}}}[\boldsymbol{V}, \boldsymbol{W}] \sim \epsilon^{i_{1} \cdots i_{N}} \epsilon_{j_{1} \cdots j_{N}} \epsilon^{k_{1} \cdots k_{N}} \epsilon_{l_{1} \cdots l_{N}} \boldsymbol{Y}_{i_{1}}^{j_{1}} \cdots \boldsymbol{Y}_{i_{N-1}}^{j_{N-1}} V_{i_{N}}^{l_{N}} \bar{Y}_{k_{1}}^{l_{1}} \cdots \overline{\boldsymbol{Y}}_{k_{N-1}}^{l_{N-1}} \boldsymbol{W}_{\boldsymbol{k}_{N}}^{j_{N}}$

## SMGG as boundary condition <br> 

 SMGG is an integrable boundary condition for an asymptotic open spin chain / open string$Y=0$ brane: $\quad \epsilon^{i_{1} \cdots i_{N}} \epsilon_{j_{1} \cdots j_{N}} Y_{i_{1}}^{j_{1}} \cdots Y_{i_{N-1}}^{j_{N-1}}(Z Z \ldots Z Z)_{i_{N}}^{j_{N}}$
Dilatation operator $=$ Open spin chain Hamiltonian (discussed later)

- Ground state
(ZZ...ZZ)
$|0\rangle$
- One-particle state

$$
\sum_{x} e^{i p x}(Z \ldots Z \chi Z \ldots Z) \sim A_{\chi}^{\dagger}(p)|0\rangle
$$

- Two-particle state

$$
\sum_{x<x^{\prime}} e^{i p_{1} x+i p_{2} x^{\prime}}\left(Z \ldots Z \chi Z \chi^{\prime} Z \ldots Z\right) \sim A_{\chi}^{\dagger}\left(p_{1}\right) A_{\chi}^{\dagger}\left(p_{2}\right)|0\rangle
$$

## SMGG as boundary condition <br> 

 SMGG is an integrable boundary condition for an asymptotic open spin chain / open string$\mathbf{Y}=0$ brane: $\quad \epsilon^{i_{1} \cdots i_{N}} \epsilon_{j_{1} \cdots j_{N}} \boldsymbol{Y}_{i_{1}}^{j_{1}} \cdots Y_{i_{N-1}}^{j_{N-1}}(Z Z \ldots Z Z)_{i_{N}}^{j_{N}}$
The $Y=0$ preserves the symmetry psu(1|2) ${ }^{2}$ which determines the reflection matrix, a solution of the boundary Yang-Baxter relation

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathbb{S}\left(-p_{2},-p_{1}\right) \mathbb{R}_{Y}\left(p_{1}\right) \mathbb{S}\left(p_{1},-p_{2}\right) \mathbb{R}_{Y}\left(p_{2}\right)=\mathbb{R}_{Y}\left(p_{2}\right) \mathbb{S}\left(p_{2},-p_{1}\right) \mathbb{R}_{Y}\left(p_{1}\right) \mathbb{S}\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right) \\
\mathbb{R}_{Y}^{-}(p)=R_{0}^{-}(p)^{2}\left(\begin{array}{llll}
e^{-i p / 2} & & & \\
& -e^{i p / 2} & & \\
& & 1 & \\
& & & 1
\end{array}\right)^{\otimes 2}
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
R_{0}^{-}(p)^{2}=-e^{-i p} \sigma(p,-p) \quad \text { obeys boundary crossing relation }
$$

## The $\mathrm{Y}_{\theta}=0$ boundary condition

New reflection amplitudes can be found by rotating $\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{Y}}$

- $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM: Field redefinition: $\operatorname{det} Y^{N} \rightarrow \operatorname{det}(Y \cos \theta+\bar{Y} \sin \theta)^{N}$
- Integrable system:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Rotation } T:\binom{1}{2} \rightarrow\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\cos \theta & \sin \theta \\
-\sin \theta & \cos \theta
\end{array}\right)\binom{1}{2}, \quad \text { same for }(\mathbf{1}, \dot{2}) \\
\mathbb{R}_{\theta}^{-}(p) \equiv T R_{Y}^{-} T^{-1}=R_{0}^{-}(p)^{2}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\cos ^{2} \theta e^{-i p / 2}-\sin ^{2} \theta e^{i p / 2} & \sin \theta \cos \theta\left(e^{-i p / 2}+e^{i p / 2}\right) & \\
\sin \theta \cos \theta\left(e^{-i p / 2}+e^{i p / 2}\right) & \sin ^{2} \theta e^{-i p / 2}-\cos ^{2} \theta e^{i p / 2} & \\
& & 1
\end{array}\right)^{\otimes 2}
\end{gathered}
$$

- $\boldsymbol{R}_{\theta}$ still solves boundary Yang-Baxter relation!
$\mathbb{S}\left(-p_{2},-p_{1}\right) \mathbb{R}\left(p_{1}\right) \mathbb{S}\left(p_{1},-p_{2}\right) \mathbb{R}\left(p_{2}\right)=\mathbb{R}\left(p_{2}\right) \mathbb{S}\left(p_{2},-p_{1}\right) \mathbb{R}\left(p_{1}\right) \mathbb{S}\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right)$
- $\theta=\pi / 2$ corresponds to the Ybar=0 brane

Asymptotic Bethe Ansatz (and Lüscher formula, etc) can be generalized to boundary integrable models

## Dilatation on det-like operators

## 

One-loop dilatation operator acting on the $\mathrm{Y}=0$ det-like operators = Hamiltonian of an integrable open spin-chain

$$
\mathcal{O}_{Y, Y}[V]=\epsilon^{i_{1} \ldots i_{N}} \epsilon_{j_{1} \ldots j_{N}} Y_{i_{1}}^{j_{1}} \ldots Y_{i_{N-1}}^{j_{N-1}} V_{i_{N}}^{j_{N}}, \quad V \sim Z^{L}
$$

$\mathcal{D}_{1 \cdot \text { loop }}=\frac{\lambda}{8 \pi^{2}} Q_{1}^{Y} Q_{L}^{Y}\left[\sum_{l=1}^{L-1}\left(I_{l, l+1}-P_{l, l+1}+\frac{1}{2} K_{l, l+1}\right)+\left(1-Q_{1}^{Y}\right)+\left(1-Q_{L}^{Y}\right)\right] Q_{L}^{Y} Q_{1}^{Y}$
Projector: $Q_{\ell}^{Y}\left(\Phi_{m_{1}} \ldots \Phi_{m_{L}}\right)=\left(1-\delta_{Y, m_{\ell}}\right)\left(\Phi_{m_{1}} \ldots \Phi_{m_{L}}\right)$
[Berenstein Vazquez] (2005) [Hofman Maldacena] (2007)
Dilatation on the $\mathrm{Y}=0$ and $\mathrm{Ybar}=0$ det-like operators should look like

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{O}_{Y, \bar{Y}}[V, W] \sim \epsilon^{i_{1} \cdots i_{N}} \epsilon_{j_{1} \cdots j_{N}} \epsilon^{k_{1} \cdots k_{N}} \epsilon_{l_{1} \cdots l_{N}} Y_{i_{1}}^{j_{1}} \cdots Y_{i_{N-1}}^{j_{N-1}} V_{i_{N}}^{l_{N}} \bar{Y}_{k_{1}}^{l_{1}} \cdots \bar{Y}_{k_{N-1}}^{l_{N-1}} W_{\boldsymbol{k}_{N}}^{j_{N}} \\
& \mathcal{D}_{\text {1-loop }}=\mathcal{D}_{\text {1-loop }}^{(L)}+\mathcal{D}_{\text {1-loop }}^{(R)} \\
& \mathcal{D}_{1-\text { loop }}^{(L)}=\frac{\lambda}{8 \pi^{2}} Q_{1}^{\bar{Y}} Q_{L}^{Y}\left[\sum_{l=1}^{L-1}\left(I_{l, l+1}-P_{l, l+1}+\frac{1}{2} K_{l, l+1}\right)+\left(1-Q_{1}^{Y}\right)+\left(1-: \begin{array}{c}
Q_{L}, \\
\hdashline Q_{L}
\end{array}\right] Q_{1}^{Q_{1}}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

## Actually the representative state is not a dilatation eigenstate

$$
\mathcal{O}_{Y, \bar{Y}}[V, W] \sim \epsilon^{i_{1} \cdots i_{N}} \epsilon_{j_{1} \cdots j_{N}} \epsilon^{k_{1} \cdots k_{N}} \epsilon_{l_{1} \cdots l_{N}} \boldsymbol{Y}_{i_{1}}^{j_{1}} \cdots Y_{i_{N-1}}^{j_{N-1}} V_{i_{N}}^{l_{N}} \bar{Y}_{k_{1}}^{l_{1}} \cdots \bar{Y}_{k_{N-1}}^{l_{N-1}} W_{k_{N}}^{j_{N}}
$$

An example of mixings

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{O}_{Y, \bar{Y}}^{\prime}[V, W] \sim & \epsilon^{i_{1} \cdots i_{N}} \epsilon_{j_{1} \cdots j_{N}} \epsilon^{k_{1} \cdots k_{N}} \epsilon_{l_{1} \cdots l_{N}} Y_{i_{1}}^{j_{1}} \cdots(Y \bar{Y})_{i_{N-1}}^{j_{N-1}} V_{i_{N}}^{l_{N}} \bar{Y}_{k_{1}}^{l_{1}} \cdots \delta_{k_{N-1}}^{l_{N-1}} W_{k_{N}}^{j_{N}} \\
& +\epsilon^{i_{1} \cdots i_{N}} \epsilon_{j_{1} \cdots j_{N}} \epsilon^{k_{1} \cdots k_{N}} \epsilon_{l_{1} \cdots l_{N}} Y_{i_{1}}^{j_{1}} \cdots \bar{Y}_{i_{N-1}}^{j_{N-1}} V_{i_{N}}^{l_{N}} \bar{Y}_{k_{1}}^{l_{1}} \cdots Y_{k_{N-1}}^{l_{N-1}} W_{k_{N}}^{j_{N}}
\end{aligned}
$$

We must use the true eigenstate before computing anomalous dimensions. However, the classification of dilatation eigenstate at finite $N$ is difficult particularly when the length of operator exceeds $N$.

## Heuristic arguments

- The $Y=0$ and $Y Y$ bar operators should differ only by boundary interaction, ie. wrapping corrections starting at the order $\sim \boldsymbol{O}(L)$ (actually $2 L$ )
- The wrapping computation seem to be insensitive to the details of Y,Ybar


## Degeneracy of 2pt functions

## 

Consider the two-point function of a YbarY operator

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\langle\mathcal{O}_{Y, \bar{Y}}\left[Z^{L}, Z^{L^{\prime}}\right](x) \mathcal{O}_{\bar{Y}, Y}\left[\bar{Z}^{L^{\prime}}, \bar{Z}^{L}\right](0)\right\rangle \sim|x|^{-2 \Delta} \\
\mathcal{O}_{Y, \bar{Y}}\left[Z^{L}, Z^{L^{\prime}}\right] \sim \epsilon^{i_{1} \cdots i_{N}} \epsilon_{j_{1} \cdots j_{N}} \epsilon^{k_{1} \cdots k_{N}} \epsilon_{\epsilon_{1} \cdots l_{N}} \times \\
Y_{i_{1}}^{j_{1}} \cdots Y_{i_{N-1}}^{j_{N-1}}\left(Z^{L}\right)_{i_{N}}^{l_{N}} \bar{Y}_{k_{1}}^{l_{1}} \cdots \bar{Y}_{k_{N-1}}^{l_{N-1}}\left(Z^{L^{\prime}}\right)_{k_{N}}^{j_{N}}
\end{gathered}
$$

Computation goes in almost the same way as on a YY operator

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{BPS}}\left[Z^{L}, Z^{L^{\prime}}\right] \sim \epsilon^{i_{1} \cdots i_{N}} \epsilon_{j_{1} \cdots j_{N}} \epsilon^{k_{1} \cdots k_{N}} \epsilon_{l_{1} \cdots l_{N}} \times \\
& Y_{i_{1}}^{j_{1}} \cdots Y_{i_{N-1}}^{j_{N-1}}\left(Z^{L}\right)_{i_{N}}^{l_{N}} Y_{k_{1}}^{l_{1}} \cdots Y_{k_{N-1}}^{l_{N-1}}\left(Z^{L^{\prime}}\right)_{k_{N}}^{j_{N}}
\end{aligned}
$$

After a lot of tree-level contractions between $\boldsymbol{Y} \overline{\boldsymbol{Y}}$, we obtain the following diagrams

## Wrapping diagram



Spacetime structure (amputated)

this is same as the so-called zig-zag diagram

## Wrapping diagram



The result is

$$
\left(g=\frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{2 \pi}\right)
$$

$\delta \Delta_{L}=-\frac{4(g / 2)^{4 L}}{4 L-1}\binom{4 L}{2 L} \zeta(4 L-3)+\mathcal{O}\left(g^{4 L+2}\right), \quad g \ll 1$
Agree with the boundary Lüscher formula for $L>1$
Our heuristic argument should be improved at $\boldsymbol{L}=\mathbf{1}$

## BTBA equations

## and energy bound

## Exact dimension/energy <br> 

Begin with the equivalence of Euclidean worldsheet partition functions

[Zamolodchikov (1990)]
[Arutyunov, Frolov (2007)]

In Hamiltonian formalism, $\quad \operatorname{tr} e^{-R H(L)}=\operatorname{tr} e^{-L \tilde{H}(R)}$
Take the large $\boldsymbol{R}$ limit,

$$
e^{-R E_{0}(L)}=\lim _{R \rightarrow \infty} e^{-\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(\mathcal{R})}
$$

The "mirror" free energy can be computed by the "mirror" asymptotic Bethe Ansatz equations in the thermodynamic limit
$\Rightarrow$ Thermodynamic Bethe Ansatz equations (TBA)

## TBA in $\mathrm{AdS}_{5} \times \mathrm{S}^{5}=\mathrm{Y}$-system + discontinuity <br> 

TBA (schematically): $\log Y_{A}=V_{A}+\sum_{B} \log \left(1 \pm Y_{B}\right) \star K_{B A}$

$$
\log (1+Y) * K(v)=\int d t \log (1+Y(t)) \frac{1}{2 \pi i} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \log S(t, v)
$$

Exact energy: $E-L=-\sum_{Q=1}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d \tilde{p}_{Q}}{2 \pi} \log \left(1+Y_{Q}\right), \quad Y_{Q}=Y_{Q, 0}$


The hook is related to functional equations called $Y$-system, which can be derived from the TBA equations.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{Y_{a, s}^{+} Y_{a, s}^{-}}{Y_{a-1, s} Y_{a+1, s}}=\frac{\left(1+Y_{a, s-1}\right)\left(1+Y_{a, s+1}\right)}{\left(1+Y_{a-1, s}\right)\left(1+Y_{a+1, s}\right)} \\
Y^{ \pm}(v)=\boldsymbol{Y}(v \pm i / g)
\end{gathered}
$$

## Mirror trick with boundary

A simple generalization is to change boundary conditions


Take the large $\boldsymbol{R}$ limit, $e^{-R E_{\alpha \beta, 0}(L)}=\lim _{R \rightarrow \infty} e^{-\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(\mathcal{R})+B_{\alpha \beta}(R)}$
Difficult to derive the boundary factor $\boldsymbol{B}_{\alpha \beta}$ in integrable models with non-diagonal S-matrix

## BTBA for YbarY

- Notice that the boundary just introduces a momentum-dependent chemical potential which just changes the source term $V_{a}$
- We define the source term $V_{a}$ by asymptotic Y-functions, and conjecture the BTBA of the $Y=0$ \& $\mathrm{Ybar}=0$ as follows:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\log Y_{a}=\log \left(1 \pm Y_{b}\right) \star K_{b a}+V_{a} \\
V_{a} \equiv \log Y_{a}^{\circ}-\log \left(1 \pm Y_{b}^{\circ}\right) * K_{b a} \\
Y_{\text {aux }}^{\circ}=\text { asymptotitc Y-functions, } \quad Y_{Q}^{\circ}=0
\end{gathered}
$$

The asymptotic source term for the ground-state BTBA should be exact

- The asymptotic ground-state Y's have double zeroes or poles at the origin.
- Those zeroes are correlated to $\mathrm{Y}=(-\mathrm{I})^{\mathrm{F}}$ at $v= \pm \mathrm{i} / g$
- It follows that the singularities at the origin cannot move as long as all Y-functions are real and parity-even.


## BTBA for YbarY

- Notice that the boundary just introduces a momentum-dependent chemical potential which just changes the source term $V_{a}$
- We define the source term $V_{a}$ by asymptotic Y-functions, and conjecture the BTBA of the $Y=0$ \& $\mathrm{Ybar}=0$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \log Y_{a}=\log \left(1 \pm Y_{b}\right) \star K_{b a}+V_{a} \\
& \quad V_{a} \equiv \log Y_{a}^{\circ}-\log \left(1 \pm Y_{b}^{\circ}\right) * K_{b a}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
Y_{\text {aux }}^{\circ}=\text { asymptotitc Y-functions, } \quad Y_{Q}^{\circ}=0
$$

Our ground-state BTBA takes the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
\log \frac{Y_{a}}{Y_{a}^{\circ}} & =\log \left(\frac{1 \pm Y_{b}}{1 \pm Y_{b}^{\circ}}\right) \star K_{b a} \quad \text { for auxiliary } \mathbf{Y} \\
\log \frac{Y_{Q}}{Y_{Q}^{\circ}} & =\log \left(\frac{1 \pm Y_{b}}{1 \pm Y_{b}^{\circ}}\right) \star K_{b Q}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Summary of YbarY energy

$$
\text { YbarY BTBA: } \quad \log \frac{Y_{a}}{Y_{a}^{\circ}}=\log \left(\frac{1 \pm Y_{b}}{1 \pm Y_{b}^{\circ}}\right) \star K_{b a}
$$

( $\infty$ nonlinear integral equations can be solved by numerical iteration)
BTBA energy: $\quad E_{\text {BtBA }}(L, g)=-\sum_{Q=1}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d \widetilde{p}_{Q}}{2 \pi} \log \left(1+Y_{Q}\right)$
Our BTBA describes $\Delta$ of the determinant-like operator:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{O}_{Y, \bar{Y}}\left[Z^{L}, Z^{L^{\prime}}\right] \sim \epsilon^{i_{1} \cdots i_{N}} \epsilon_{j_{1} \cdots j_{N}} \epsilon^{k_{1} \cdots k_{N}} \epsilon_{l_{1} \cdots l_{N}} \times \\
& Y_{i_{1}}^{j_{1}} \cdots Y_{i_{N-1}}^{j_{N-1}}\left(Z^{L}\right)_{i_{N}}^{l_{N}} \bar{Y}_{k_{1}}^{l_{1}} \cdots \bar{Y}_{k_{N-1}}^{l_{N-1}}\left(Z^{L^{\prime}}\right)_{k_{N}}^{j_{N}} \\
& \Delta=2 N-2+L+L^{\prime}+\frac{E_{\text {BTBA }}(L, g)+E_{\text {BTBA }}\left(L^{\prime}, g\right)}{\text { all wrapping corrections, negative values }}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Summary of YbarY energy

$$
\text { YbarY BTBA: } \quad \log \frac{Y_{a}}{Y_{a}^{\circ}}=\log \left(\frac{1 \pm Y_{b}}{1 \pm Y_{b}^{\circ}}\right) \star K_{b a}
$$

( $\infty$ nonlinear integral equations can be solved by numerical iteration)
BTBA energy: $\quad E_{\text {BtBA }}(L, g)=-\sum_{Q=1}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d \widetilde{p}_{Q}}{2 \pi} \log \left(1+Y_{Q}\right)$
Our BTBA describes $\Delta$ of the determinant-like operator:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{O}_{Y, \bar{Y}}\left[Z^{L}, Z^{L^{\prime}}\right] \sim \epsilon^{i_{1} \cdots i_{N}} \epsilon_{j_{1} \cdots j_{N}} \epsilon^{k_{1} \cdots k_{N}} \epsilon_{l_{1} \cdots l_{N}} \times \\
Y_{i_{1}}^{j_{1}} \cdots Y_{i_{N-1}}^{j_{N-1}}\left(Z^{L}\right)_{i_{N}}^{l_{N}} \overline{\boldsymbol{Y}}_{k_{1}}^{l_{1}} \cdots \overline{\boldsymbol{Y}}_{k_{N-1}}^{l_{N-1}}\left(Z^{L^{\prime}}\right)_{k_{N}}^{j_{N}} \\
\Delta=2+L+L^{\prime}+E_{\mathrm{BTBA}}(L, g)+\boldsymbol{E}_{\mathrm{BTBA}}\left(L^{\prime}, g\right) \\
\text { Energy of D-branes } \quad \text { Energy of a pair of open strings } \\
\boldsymbol{E}_{\text {open }}\left[Z^{L}\right]=-1+L+E_{\mathrm{BTBA}}(\boldsymbol{L}, g)
\end{gathered}
$$

## Summary of YbarY energy


YbarY BTBA: $\quad \log \frac{Y_{a}}{Y_{a}^{\circ}}=\log \left(\frac{1 \pm Y_{b}}{1 \pm Y_{b}^{\circ}}\right) \star K_{b a}$
( $\infty$ nonlinear integral equations can be solved by numerical iteration)
BTBA energy: $\quad E_{\text {BtBA }}(L, g)=-\sum_{Q=1}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d \widetilde{p}_{Q}}{2 \pi} \log \left(1+Y_{Q}\right)$
Our BTBA describes $\Delta$ of the determinant-like operator:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{O}_{Y, \bar{Y}}\left[Z^{L}, Z^{L^{\prime}}\right] \sim & \epsilon^{i_{1} \cdots i_{N}} \epsilon_{j_{1} \cdots j_{N}} \epsilon^{k_{1} \cdots k_{N}} \epsilon_{l_{1} \cdots l_{N}} \times \\
& Y_{i_{1}}^{j_{1}} \cdots Y_{i_{N-1}}^{j_{N-1}}\left(Z^{L}\right)_{i_{N}}^{l_{N}} \bar{Y}_{k_{1}}^{l_{1}} \cdots \bar{Y}_{k_{N-1}}^{l_{N-1}}\left(Z^{L^{\prime}}\right)_{k_{N}}^{j_{N}} \\
\Delta=2 N-2+ & L+L^{\prime}+E_{\mathrm{BTBA}}(L, g)+E_{\mathrm{BTBA}}\left(L^{\prime}, g\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Interestingly, there exists a lower bound for the (B)TBA energy

## $\mathrm{YQ}(\mathrm{v})$ at large v


BTBA equation for $Y Q$ in the large $v$ limit

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \log \frac{Y_{Q}(v)}{Y_{Q}^{\bullet}(v)}=-2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d t \log \left(1+Y_{Q^{\prime}}(t) K_{\Sigma}^{Q^{\prime} Q}(t, v)+\ldots\right. \\
& \sim-4 E_{B T B A} \log (v), \quad v \gg 1 \\
& \Leftrightarrow \quad \log Y_{Q}(v) \sim-\left(4 L+4 E_{\mathrm{BTBA}}\right) \log (v) \\
& K_{Q, Q}^{\square}(t, v)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \log \Sigma^{Q^{\prime}}(t, v) \\
& \frac{1}{i} \log \Sigma^{Q^{\prime} Q}(t, v)=\Phi\left(y_{1}^{+}, y_{2}^{+}\right)-\Phi\left(y_{1}^{+}, y_{2}^{-}\right)-\Phi\left(y_{1}^{-}, y_{2}^{+}\right)+\Phi\left(y_{1}^{-}, y_{2}^{-}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left(\Psi\left(y_{2}^{+}, y_{1}^{+}\right)+\Psi\left(y_{2}^{-}, y_{1}^{+}\right)-\Psi\left(y_{2}^{+}, y_{1}^{-}\right)-\Psi\left(y_{2}^{-}, y_{1}^{-}\right)\right) \\
& -\frac{1}{2}\left(\Psi\left(y_{1}^{+}, y_{2}^{+}\right)+\Psi\left(y_{1}^{-}, y_{2}^{+}\right)-\Psi\left(y_{1}^{+}, y_{2}^{-}\right)-\Psi\left(y_{1}^{-}, y_{2}^{-}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Phi\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=i \oint \frac{d w_{1}}{2 \pi} \oint \frac{d w_{2}}{2 \pi} \frac{1}{\left(w_{1}-x_{1}\right)\left(w_{2}-x_{2}\right)} \log \frac{\Gamma\left[1+\frac{i g}{2}\left(w_{1}+\frac{1}{w_{1}}-w_{2}-\frac{1}{w_{2}}\right)\right]}{\Gamma\left[1-\frac{i q}{2}\left(w_{1}+\frac{1}{w_{1}}-w_{2}-\frac{1}{w_{2}}\right)\right]} \\
& \Psi\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=i \oint \frac{d w}{2 \pi} \frac{1}{w-x_{2}} \log \frac{\Gamma\left[1+\frac{i g}{2}\left(x_{1}+\frac{1}{x_{1}}-w-\frac{1}{w}\right]\right]}{\Gamma\left[1-\frac{i g}{2}\left(x_{1}+\frac{1}{x_{1}}-w-\frac{1}{w}\right)\right]} \\
& x(v)=\frac{1}{2}\left(v-i \sqrt{4-v^{2}}\right), \quad y_{1}^{ \pm}=x\left(t \pm \frac{i Q^{\prime}}{g}\right), \quad y_{2}^{ \pm}=x\left(v \pm \frac{i Q}{g}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## $\mathrm{YQ}(\mathrm{v})$ at large v


BTBA equation for YQ in the large v limit

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \log \frac{Y_{Q}(v)}{Y_{Q}^{\bullet}(v)}=-2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d t \log \left(1+Y_{Q^{\prime}}(t)\right) K_{\Sigma}^{Q^{\prime} Q}(t, v)+\ldots \\
& \sim-4 E_{B T B A} \log (v), \quad v \gg 1 \\
& \Leftrightarrow \quad \log Y_{Q}(v) \sim-\left(4 L+4 E_{\mathrm{BTBA}}\right) \log (v)
\end{aligned}
$$

However, the integrals in BTBA energy diverges if $Y_{Q}(v) \sim 1 / v$

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d v}{2 \pi} \frac{d \widetilde{p}_{Q}}{d v} \log \left(1+Y_{Q}(v)\right) \sim(\text { const }) \int^{\infty} d v v^{-4 L-4 E_{\mathrm{BTBA}}}
$$

The BTBA energy cannot be negative and large
$4 L+4 E_{\mathrm{BTBA}}>1 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad E_{\mathrm{BTBA}}>1 / 4-L$

## BTBA equation for $Y \mathrm{Q}$ in the large Q limit

$$
\Leftrightarrow \quad \log Y_{Q}(v) \sim\left(3-4 L-4 E_{\mathrm{BTBA}}\right) \log (Q)
$$

However, the sum in BTBA energy diverges if $Y_{Q}(v) \sim 1 / Q$

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{\mathrm{BTBA}} & =-\sum_{Q=1}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d \widetilde{p}_{Q}}{2 \pi} \log \left(1+Y_{Q}\right) \\
& \sim \sum_{Q=1}^{\infty}(\mathrm{const}) Q^{3-4 L-4 E_{\mathrm{BTBA}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The BTBA energy cannot be negative and large $4 L+4 E_{\mathrm{BTBA}}>4 \Leftrightarrow E_{\mathrm{BTBA}}>1-L$

## The stronger bound is

$$
E_{\text {open }}\left[Z^{L}\right]=L-1+E_{\mathrm{BTBA}}(L, g)>0
$$

It is impossible to saturate this lower bound.

Suppose $\boldsymbol{E}_{\mathrm{BTBA}}=1-\boldsymbol{L}$ then BTBA dictates $Y_{Q}(v) \sim 1 / Q$
This implies $\boldsymbol{E}_{\text {BtBA }}$ diverges, which is a contradiction

A sign of divergences can also be seen at numerical analysis (ie. indeed TBA energy seems to "hit" the bound)


Mars Beowulf cluster (Utrecht University)

Mathematica


## Sushiki server (Yukawa Institute)



## Numerical Results



Solid: BTBA solution, Dashed: Lüscher formula, Dotted: Lower bound $E_{\mathrm{BTBA}}^{(\mathrm{num})}(L, g)=-\sum_{Q=1}^{Q_{\max }} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d \tilde{p}_{Q}}{2 \pi} \log \left(1+Y_{Q}\right)-\sum_{Q=Q_{\max }+1}^{100} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d \tilde{p}_{Q}}{2 \pi} \log \left(1+Y_{Q}^{\bullet}\right)$

## Numerical Results



- Cannot go further just by a brute-force computation
- Not clear how to go beyond the critical coupling analytically


## Phase diagram


under the assumption that the $L=\mathbf{1}$ energy diverges at $\boldsymbol{g}=\mathbf{0}$

## Physical interpretation?

- The breakdown may indicate open string tachyon at strong coupling via AdS/CFT
- In string theory, the classical energy of short string is zero, but the quantum zero-point energy can be complex
- No gauge-theory description of $\boldsymbol{O}(\boldsymbol{N})$ operators for $\boldsymbol{g}>\boldsymbol{g}_{\text {cr }}$

$$
\Delta \sim 2 N+2 E_{\text {open }} \xrightarrow{g \rightarrow \infty} \text { complex }
$$

- Unitarity of $\mathcal{N} \mathcal{N}=4$ SYM requires $\Delta$ to be real at any $g$
- The energy of the string-brane system after tachyon condensation should be real
- Then, $\boldsymbol{g}_{\text {cr }}$ may be related to the radius of convergence in gauge theory

$$
\Delta \sim 2 N+2 E_{\mathrm{BTBA}}=\infty-\infty
$$

## Physical interpretation?


$\Delta \sim 2 N+2 E_{\text {open }} \xrightarrow{g \rightarrow \infty}$ complex / tachyon condensation
tachyonic open string $\Delta \sim 2 N+2 E_{\mathrm{BTBA}}=\infty-\infty$

$$
\xrightarrow{g \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{O}\left(N^{0}\right) ?
$$

## Summary and outlook

## Summary

- Studied the spectrum of determinant-like operators dual to open strings ending on giant gravitons
- Wrapping corrections from $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM agree with the Lüscher formula
- Proposed and solved BTBA equations for $Y=0$ \& $Y b a r=0$
- Found the lower-bound for the (B)TBA energy


## Future works

- Beyond the critical coupling? Compare with string theory?
- How to compute the dimension of the L=I state?
- AdS/CFT for unstable systems?


## Thank you for attention

## Infinite-dimensional symmetry

The centrally-extended $\mathfrak{s u}(2 \mid 2)$ determines the asymptotic dispersion and S-matrix of fundamental representations almost uniquely

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Delta-J=\sum_{j=1}^{N} \sqrt{1+4 f(g)^{2} \sin ^{2} \frac{p_{j}}{2}}, \quad f(g)=g \equiv \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{2 \pi} \text { in } \mathcal{N}=4 \mathrm{SYM} \\
A_{a}^{\dagger}\left(p_{1}\right) A_{b}^{\dagger}\left(p_{2}\right)=\mathbb{S}_{a b}^{c d}\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right) A_{c}^{\dagger}\left(p_{2}\right) A_{d}^{\dagger}\left(p_{1}\right), \quad \mathbb{S}=S_{0}\left[\hat{S}_{\mathfrak{s u}(2 \mid 2)} \otimes \hat{S}_{\mathfrak{s u}(2 \mid 2)}\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

The (fundamental) S-matrix of AdS/CFT satisfies Yang-Baxter relation

$$
\mathbb{S}_{12} \mathbb{S}_{13} \mathbb{S}_{23}=\mathbb{S}_{23} \mathbb{S}_{13} \mathbb{S}_{12} \equiv \mathbb{S}_{123}
$$

NB. boundstate S-matrices are obtained by fusion while imposing the YBR

## Infinite-dimensional symmetry

An $N$-particle state and its dimension/energy is

$$
\left|p_{1}, \ldots p_{N}\right\rangle=A_{1}^{\dagger}\left(p_{1}\right) \ldots A_{N}^{\dagger}\left(p_{N}\right)|0\rangle, \quad \Delta-J=\sum_{j=1}^{N} \sqrt{\left.1+4 g^{2} \sin ^{2} \frac{p_{p}}{2}\right]}
$$

The creation-annihilation operators have a free-field-like representation (Zamolodchikov-Faddeev algebra)

$$
A_{1}^{\dagger} A_{2}^{\dagger}=A_{2}^{\dagger} A_{1}^{\dagger} \mathbb{S}_{12}, \quad A_{1} A_{2}=\mathbb{S}_{12} A_{2} A_{1}, \quad A_{1} A_{2}^{\dagger}=A_{2}^{\dagger} A_{1} \mathbb{S}_{12}+\delta_{12}
$$

The centrally-extended su(2|2) extends
further to the Hopf-algebra with a non-trivial co-product

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Delta \mathfrak{J}^{A}=\mathfrak{J}^{A} \otimes 1+e^{i p[A]} \otimes \mathfrak{J}^{A}, \quad \mathfrak{J}^{A}: \mathfrak{s u}(2 \mid 2) \text { generators } \\
{\left[\Delta \mathfrak{J}^{A}, \mathbb{S}\right]=0}
\end{gathered}
$$

eventually to the Yangian of su(2|2) [Beisert (2005) and others

## Bethe-Yang equation (BYE)

For a large and finite $J$, momenta of the particles are determined by the Bethe-Yang (or Bethe Ansatz) equation


$$
\begin{gathered}
-1=e^{-i J p_{k}} \prod_{j=1}^{N} S\left(p_{j}, p_{k}\right) \\
S(p, p)=-1
\end{gathered}
$$

BYE in terms of transfer matrix

$$
T_{a}(q \mid \vec{p}) \equiv(\mathrm{s}) \operatorname{tr}_{V_{a}}\left[\mathbb{S}_{a 1}\left(q, p_{1}\right) \cdots \mathbb{S}_{a N}\left(q, p_{N}\right)\right]
$$



Yang-Baxter relation for integrable S-matrices $\Rightarrow\left[T_{a}\left(q_{a} \mid \vec{p}\right), T_{b}\left(q_{b} \mid \vec{p}\right)\right]=0$

$$
\text { BYE } \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad-1=\left.e^{-i J q} T(q \mid \vec{p})\right|_{q=p_{k}}
$$

## Wrapping corrections

- The dimension $\Delta$ of SYM operator with a finite R-charge $\boldsymbol{J}$
receives exponentially small "wrapping" corrections
- The leading wrapping correction is related to the transfer matrix via the Lüscher formula

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Delta_{\text {Lüscher }} \sim \sum_{Q} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d \widetilde{p}_{Q} e^{-\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{Q}\left(\widetilde{p}_{Q}\right) J} \\
\left(\mathcal{E}_{Q}, p_{Q}\right)=\left(-i \widetilde{p}_{Q},-i \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{Q}\right), \quad \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{Q}=2 \operatorname{arcsinh}\left(\sqrt{Q^{2}+\widetilde{p}_{Q}^{2}} /(2 g)\right) \\
\Delta_{\text {Lüscher }}=-\sum_{Q=1}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d \widetilde{p}_{Q}}{2 \pi} Y_{Q}^{\bullet}\left(\widetilde{p}_{Q}\right), \quad Y_{Q}^{\bullet}\left(\widetilde{p}_{Q}\right)=e^{-\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{Q} J} T_{Q}\left(\widetilde{p}_{Q} \mid \vec{p}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

## Boundary Bethe-Yang equation

## Integrable open spin chains obey boundary BYE



$$
\begin{aligned}
1=e^{-i 2 J p_{K}} & \prod_{j \neq k}^{N} S\left(p_{k}, p_{j}\right) R^{-}\left(p_{k}\right) \times \\
& \prod_{j \neq k}^{N} S\left(p_{j},-p_{k}\right) R^{+}\left(-p_{k}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

BBYE from double-row transfer matrix $D_{a}=\operatorname{tr}_{a}\left[\mathbb{S}_{a N} \cdots \mathbb{S}_{a 1} \mathbb{R}^{-} \mathbb{S}_{1 a} \cdots \mathbb{S}_{N a} \tilde{\mathbb{R}}^{+}\right]$
$\mathbb{R}^{ \pm}$: reflection matrix


Boundary Yang-Baxter for $\mathbb{R}^{ \pm} \quad \Rightarrow \quad\left[D_{a}, D_{b}\right]=0$

$$
\mathrm{BBYE} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad-1=\left.e^{-2 i q J} D_{a}(q \mid \vec{p})\right|_{q=p_{k}}
$$

## Boundary wrapping corrections <br> 

- Boundary Lüscher formula has been conjectured and tested

- In terms of the double-row transfer matrix

$$
\Delta_{\text {Lüscher }}=-\sum_{Q=1}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d \widetilde{p}_{Q}}{2 \pi} Y_{Q}^{\bullet}, \quad Y_{Q}^{\bullet}=e^{-\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{Q} 2 J} D_{Q}
$$

Agree with $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM perturbation at weak coupling for simple states

## Error bars

We put Qmax=6 to draw the solid line

$$
E_{\mathrm{BTBA}}^{(\mathrm{num})}(J, g)=-\sum_{Q=1}^{Q_{\max }} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d \widetilde{p}_{Q}}{2 \pi} \log \left(1+Y_{Q}\right)-\sum_{Q=Q_{\max }+1}^{100} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d \widetilde{p}_{Q}}{2 \pi} \log \left(1+Y_{Q}^{\bullet}\right)
$$

The error from the truncation of YQ is huge around the critical value

$$
E_{\mathrm{BTBA}}=\sum_{Q} \mathrm{E}(Q), \quad \mathrm{E}(Q)=-\int \frac{d \widetilde{p}_{Q}}{2 \pi} \log \left(1+Y_{Q}\right) \sim Q^{-4 J-4 E_{\mathrm{BTBA}}}
$$

We extrapolate the BTBA energy from $Q \max =6$ to $\mathrm{Qmax}=100$ using the large Q asymptotics of $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{Q})$

$$
\tilde{E}_{\mathrm{BTBA}}=\sum_{Q=1}^{6} \mathrm{E}^{(\text {original) }}(Q)+\sum_{Q=7}^{100} \mathrm{E}^{(\mathrm{fit})}(Q)\left(<E_{\mathrm{BTBA}}^{(\mathrm{num})}\right)
$$

Estimate of truncation error: $\delta E_{\mathrm{BTBA}} \equiv E_{\mathrm{BTBA}}^{(\text {num })}-\tilde{E}_{\mathrm{BTBA}}$

